

DFTT 21/92
May 1992

NEW METHODS OF INTEGRATION IN MATRIX MODELS

A. D'Adda

*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare
Sezione di Torino
Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica dell'Università di Torino
via P.Giuria 1, I-10125 Turin, Italy*

Abstract

We discuss a new method of integration over matrix variables based on a suitable gauge choice in which the angular variables decouple from the eigenvalues at least for a class of two-matrix models. The calculation of correlation functions involving angular variables is simple in this gauge. Where the method is applicable it also gives an extremely simple proof of the classical integration formula used to reduce multi-matrix models to an integral over the eigenvalues.

[◊]*email address:* Decnet=(39163::DADDA)
Bitnet=(DADDA@TORINO.INFN.IT)

Matrix models have stimulated a great deal of interest in recent years following the discovery [1] that they provide a powerful tool in studying two dimensional gravity and its coupling with $c \leq 1$ conformal matter beyond perturbation expansion in the genus [2]. In spite of that there has been very small progress in the actual mathematical tools used in solving matrix and multi-matrix models. In particular the multi-matrix models for which it is known how to perform the integration over the angular variables are still the ones listed in ref. [3]. In all these cases the integration over the angular variables is done by using one fundamental formula:

$$\int d\mu(U) e^{-c \text{Tr}(U \Lambda^{(1)} U^{-1} \Lambda^{(2)})} = \left(\frac{2\pi}{c} \right)^{N(N-1)/2} \frac{1}{N!} \frac{\det \left(e^{-c \lambda_a^{(1)} \lambda_b^{(2)}} \right)}{\Delta(\Lambda^{(1)}) \Delta(\Lambda^{(2)})} \quad (1)$$

where U is a unitary $N \times N$ matrix, $\Lambda^{(1)}$ and $\Lambda^{(2)}$ two diagonal matrices ($\Lambda_{ab}^{(i)} = \delta_{ab} \lambda_a^{(i)}$) and

$$\Delta(\lambda^{(i)}) = \prod_{1 \leq b < a \leq N} (\lambda_a^{(i)} - \lambda_b^{(i)}) \quad (2)$$

In eq. (1) $d\mu(U)$ denotes the Haar measure of $SU(N)$ with the following normalization:

$$\int d\mu(U) = \frac{(2\pi)^{N(N-1)/2}}{\prod_{p=1}^N p!} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Omega_N \quad (3)$$

This equation was first derived, for a general compact Lie group, by Harish-Chandra [4], rediscovered in the context of matrix models in [5] and fully exploited in [3]. For a general proof of (1) see also [6].

In this paper we will present an alternative way to perform the integration over the angular variables, which consists in fixing the gauge in such a way that the integration over the remaining variables is trivial. When it is applicable (we shall discuss this point later) this method offers the advantage that after the gauge fixing the angular variables are decoupled from the eigenvalues, so that the calculation of correlation functions involving angular variables is greatly simplified. Also when such decoupling does not occur, as in the quartic couplings of the six vertex model (see page 26 in Ginsparg review paper [2]), the dependence of the action on the angular variables is much simplified and it leaves some hope that the integration over them might eventually be done. Finally the method provides (in the cases discussed below) an extremely simple algebraic proof of eq.(1).

As a preliminary example let us consider the case of a one matrix model defined in terms of a hermitian $N \times N$ matrix A by the partition function

$$Z_N = \int dA e^{-\text{Tr}[V(A)]} \quad (4)$$

The action $\text{Tr}V(A)$ is invariant under the unitary transformation

$$A \rightarrow A' = U^{-1} A U \quad (5)$$

and so it depends only on the eigenvalues λ_a of A . We can treat the invariance under (5) as an ordinary gauge invariance and eliminate the unphysical degrees of freedom by a gauge fixing procedure¹. In the gauge where A is diagonal

$$A_a^b = \delta_a^b \lambda_a \quad (6)$$

an infinitesimal unitary transformation of parameters ϵ_a^b is given by

$$\delta A_a^b = i[\epsilon, A]_a^b = \epsilon_a^b (\lambda_a - \lambda_b) \quad (7)$$

and the corresponding Faddeev-Popov determinant is

$$\det \frac{\delta A_a^b}{\delta \epsilon_c^d} = \prod_{a>b} (\lambda_a - \lambda_b)^2 \quad (8)$$

The partition function Z_N is then reduced to an integral over the eigenvalues:

$$Z_N = \Omega_N \int \prod_a d\lambda_a \prod_{a>b} (\lambda_a - \lambda_b)^2 e^{-\sum_a V(\lambda_a)} \quad (9)$$

where Ω_N is the volume of the gauge group $SU(N)$ defined in (3).

Let us consider next the case of a two matrix model defined by the partition function:

$$Z_N^{(2)} = \int d\varphi d\varphi^\dagger e^{-\text{Tr}[V(\varphi) + \overline{V}(\varphi^\dagger) + c\varphi\varphi^\dagger]} \quad (10)$$

where φ and $\varphi^\dagger$ are respectively a complex $N \times N$ matrix and its hermitian conjugate. If one defines $\varphi = A + iB$ this is clearly equivalent to a model with two hermitian matrices A and B . The action in (10) is invariant under unitary transformations of φ :

$$\varphi \rightarrow \varphi' = U^\dagger \varphi U, \quad \varphi^\dagger \rightarrow \varphi'^\dagger = U^\dagger \varphi^\dagger U \quad (11)$$

It is always possible, by using the invariance (11), to reduce φ to a triangular form, that is:

$$\varphi_{ab} = 0 \quad a > b, \quad \varphi_{ab}^\dagger = 0 \quad a < b \quad (12)$$

Notice that in such gauge the diagonal elements of φ and $\varphi^\dagger$ coincide with the respective eigenvalues: $\varphi_{aa} = \lambda_a$ and $\varphi_{aa}^\dagger = \overline{\lambda_a}$. The advantage of the gauge fixing conditions (12) is that the corresponding Faddeev-Popov determinant is very simple and depends only on the eigenvalues on φ and $\varphi^\dagger$. In fact if one denotes by Φ_{ab} the l.h.s. of the gauge conditions (12), that is $\Phi_{ab} = \varphi_{ab}$ for $a > b$ and $\Phi_{ab} = \varphi_{ab}^\dagger$ for $a < b$, and by ϵ_{ab} the parameters of an infinitesimal unitary transformation ($\epsilon_{ba} = \overline{\epsilon_{ab}}$), then it is easy to show that:

$$\det \frac{\delta \Phi_{ab}}{\delta \epsilon_{cd}} = \Delta(\lambda) \Delta(\overline{\lambda}) = \prod_{a>b} |\lambda_a - \lambda_b|^2 \quad (13)$$

¹A number of people must have been aware of this procedure, to my knowledge it has appeared in the literature in Ginsparg review paper [2] and in [7].

With this choice of gauge the partition function (10) takes the form:

$$Z_N^{(2)} = \Omega_N \int \prod_{a=1}^N d\lambda_a d\bar{\lambda}_a \prod_{a<b} d\varphi_{ab} d\bar{\varphi}_{ab} \Delta(\lambda) \Delta(\bar{\lambda}) \exp\left\{-\sum_{a=1}^N [V(\lambda_a) + \bar{V}(\bar{\lambda}_a) + c\lambda_a \bar{\lambda}_a] - c \sum_{a<b} \varphi_{ab} \bar{\varphi}_{ab}\right\} \quad (14)$$

As the angular variables appear quadratically in (14) and are decoupled from the eigenvalues, the corresponding gaussian integral is trivial and gives:

$$Z_N^{(2)} = \left(\frac{2\pi}{c}\right)^{N(N-1)/2} \Omega_N \int \prod_{a=1}^N d\lambda_a d\bar{\lambda}_a \Delta(\lambda) \Delta(\bar{\lambda}) e^{-\sum_{a=1}^N [V(\lambda_a) + \bar{V}(\bar{\lambda}_a) + c\lambda_a \bar{\lambda}_a]} \quad (15)$$

Eq.(15) is the same as the one considered for instance in [3], except that the two hermitian matrices are replaced here by complex conjugate matrices φ and $\varphi^\dagger$. The novelty of our procedure is in the simple quadratic dependence of the action from the remaining angular variables after fixing the gauge as shown in eq.(14). This allows in particular to calculate (or at least to reduce to integrals over the eigenvalues) correlation functions of gauge invariant quantities, such as for instance $Tr\varphi^2\varphi^{\dagger 2}$ and $Tr\varphi\varphi^\dagger\varphi\varphi^\dagger$, that involve also angular variables. In these cases the integration over φ_{ab} and $\bar{\varphi}_{ab}$ can be done by simple Feynman diagrams techniques. These correlation functions are the first terms of the expansion in powers of the coupling constants of the partition function generated by the action

$$S = Tr[V(\varphi) + \bar{V}(\varphi^\dagger) + c\varphi\varphi^\dagger + g_1\varphi\varphi\varphi^\dagger\varphi^\dagger + g_2\varphi\varphi^\dagger\varphi\varphi^\dagger] \quad (16)$$

which contains as a particular case the matrix model describing the coupling of the six vertex model to gravity. In (16) the angular variables are not decoupled, in fact in the gauge (12) we have for instance:

$$Tr\varphi\varphi^\dagger\varphi\varphi^\dagger = \sum \left\{ |\lambda_a|^4 + 2|\lambda_a|^2 [|\varphi_{ba}|^2 + |\varphi_{ab}|^2] + 2\lambda_a \bar{\varphi}_{ba} \bar{\varphi}_{ac} \varphi_{bc} + 2\bar{\lambda}_a \varphi_{ab} \varphi_{ca} \bar{\varphi}_{cb} + \varphi_{ab} \varphi_{cd} \bar{\varphi}_{cb} \bar{\varphi}_{ad} \right\} \quad (17)$$

where the φ_{ab} 's and the $\bar{\varphi}_{ab}$'s at the r.h.s. of the equation stand for the off-diagonal elements only ($\varphi_{ab} = \bar{\varphi}_{ab} = 0$ for $a \geq b$). In this situation the task of reducing the calculation of the partition function to an integral over the eigenvalues is, beyond the first few terms in the coupling constants expansion, a formidable one but not, according to some preliminary calculations, a completely hopeless one.

It would obviously be very interesting to generalize these results to the case of models of two hermitian matrices. However a hermitian matrix cannot be cast in a triangular form and we could not find any other gauge choice that offers the same advantages. Still one can apply our method to a different model, which seems to be closely related to the one of two hermitian matrices although we were unable to prove a complete equivalence. Let us consider two hermitian matrices A_i ($i = 1, 2$) parameterized as usual in the following way:

$$A_i = U_i \Lambda_i U_i^\dagger \quad (18)$$

where Λ_i are diagonal ($\Lambda_{i,ab} = \delta_{ab}\lambda_a^{(i)}$) and U_i are unitary matrices. Let us associate to any hermitian matrix (18) a real matrix $\hat{A}_i$ defined as follows:

$$\hat{A}_i = \hat{U}_i \Lambda_i \hat{U}_i^{-1} \quad (19)$$

where $\hat{U}_i$ is the matrix of $SL(N, r)$ obtained from U_i by a "Wick rotation" of the group parameters corresponding to the real- symmetric generators of $SU(N)$. More explicitly, if we denote by $T^{(ab)}$ the antisymmetric generators of $SU(N)$ and by $S^{(ab)}$ the symmetric ones, we have:

$$U_i = e^{i\tau_{ab}^{(i)}T^{(ab)} + i\sigma_{ab}^{(i)}S^{ab}} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{ab} \rightarrow i\sigma_{ab}} \hat{U}_i = e^{i\tau_{ab}^{(i)}T^{(ab)} - \sigma_{ab}^{(i)}S^{ab}} \quad (20)$$

It should be noticed that the $\hat{A}^{(i)}$'s are not generic real matrices since their eigenvalues are constrained to be real. By means of a $SL(N, r)$ transformation the two matrices $\hat{A}_{ab}^{(i)}$ can be cast into a triangular form, more precisely:

$$\hat{A}_{ab}^{(1)} = 0 \quad a > b \quad \hat{A}_{ab}^{(2)} = 0 \quad a < b \quad (21)$$

As before the diagonal elements coincide in this gauge with the eigenvalues. Consider now the partition function

$$\hat{Z}_N^{(2)} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\hat{A}_{ab}^{(1)} d\hat{A}_{ab}^{(2)} e^{-Tr[V_1(\hat{A}^{(1)}) + V_2(\hat{A}^{(2)}) + ic\hat{A}^{(1)}\hat{A}^{(2)}]} \quad (22)$$

where the integration is restricted to a domain $\mathcal{D}$ corresponding to real eigenvalues for the real matrices $\hat{A}^{(1)}$ and $\hat{A}^{(2)}$. The action is invariant under transformations of the non compact group $SL(N, r)$; by fixing the gauge according to (21) and by calculating the Faddeev-Popov determinant in complete analogy to eq. (13) one finds:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Z}_N^{(2)} &= \hat{\Omega}_N \int \prod_{a=1}^N d\lambda_a^{(1)} d\lambda_a^{(2)} \prod_{a < b} d\hat{A}_{ab}^{(1)} d\hat{A}_{ba}^{(2)} \Delta(\lambda^{(1)}) \Delta(\lambda^{(2)}) \cdot \\ &\exp \left\{ - \sum_{a=1}^N [V_1(\lambda_a^{(1)}) + V_2(\lambda_a^{(2)}) + ic\lambda_a^{(1)}\lambda_a^{(2)}] - ic \sum_{a < b} \hat{A}_{ab}^{(1)} \hat{A}_{ba}^{(2)} \right\} \quad (23) \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\Omega}_N$ is the infinite volume of the gauge group. Notice that the coupling constant c in front of the term $Tr\hat{A}^{(1)}\hat{A}^{(2)}$ is multiplied by i in (23). Such "Wick rotation" is needed in order to have a finite result from the integration over the remaining angular variables in (23) which would otherwise be divergent due to the non compact nature of the group. The final result is:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Z}_N^{(2)} &= \hat{\Omega}_N \left(\frac{2\pi}{c} \right)^{N(N-1)/2} \int \prod_{a=1}^N d\lambda_a^{(1)} d\lambda_a^{(2)} \Delta(\lambda^{(1)}) \Delta(\lambda^{(2)}) \cdot \\ &e^{-\sum_a [V_1(\lambda_a^{(1)}) + V_2(\lambda_a^{(2)}) + ic\lambda_a^{(1)}\lambda_a^{(2)}]} \quad (24) \end{aligned}$$

This result coincide (after substituting ic back with c) with the standard result obtained in [3] for hermitian matrices. However it should be noticed that , as in the case of complex conjugate matrices , eq. (23) gives some extra information, namely that the angular variables are decoupled from the eigenvalues and appear quadratically in the action. All the considerations following eq. (14) and (15) can be applied to the present case by simply replacing φ and $\varphi^\dagger$ with $\hat{A}^{(1)}$ and $\hat{A}^{(2)}$, in particular eqs. similar to (16) and (17) can be easily derived.

It is possible also to prove the $SL(N, r)$ analogue of eq.(1), which is in fact a simple consequence of (23) and of

$$d\hat{A}^{(i)} = \prod_a d\lambda_a^{(i)} \Delta^2(\lambda^{(i)}) d\mu(\hat{U}_i) \quad (25)$$

By inserting (19) and (25) into eq. (22) one finds:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Z}_N &= \hat{\Omega}_N \int \prod_a d\lambda_a^{(1)} d\lambda_a^{(2)} d\mu(\hat{U}) \Delta^2(\lambda^{(1)}) \Delta^2(\lambda^{(2)}) \cdot \\ &e^{-\sum_a [V_1(\lambda_a^{(1)}) + V_2(\lambda_a^{(2)})] - ic Tr(\hat{U} \Lambda^{(1)} \hat{U}^{-1} \Lambda^{(2)})} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

It is now sufficient to compare eqs (26) and (23) and to take into account that the integral over the eigenvalues is weighted by arbitrary functions which are symmetric under separate permutations of the eigenvalues of $\hat{A}^{(1)}$ and $\hat{A}^{(2)}$. The result is:

$$\begin{aligned} N! \int d\mu(\hat{U}) e^{-ic Tr(\hat{U} \Lambda^{(1)} \hat{U}^{-1} \Lambda^{(2)})} &= \\ &= \sum_P \frac{(-1)^{|P|} e^{-ic \sum_a (P\lambda^{(1)})_a \lambda_a^{(2)}}}{\Delta(\lambda^{(1)}) \Delta(\lambda^{(2)})} \cdot \int \prod_{a < b} d\hat{A}_{ab}^{(1)} d\hat{A}_{ba}^{(2)} e^{-ic \sum_{a < b} \hat{A}_{ab}^{(1)} \hat{A}_{ba}^{(2)}} \\ &= \left(\frac{2\pi}{c}\right)^{N(N-1)/2} \frac{\det \left(e^{-ic \lambda_a^{(1)} \lambda_b^{(2)}} \right)}{\Delta(\lambda^{(1)}) \Delta(\lambda^{(2)})} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where the sum is over all permutations P on the eigenvalues $\lambda_a^{(1)}$. In deriving eq.(27) one has used the fact that the l.h.s. is invariant under permutations of the eigenvalues. In fact it is easy to show that for any permutation P it exists a matrix $\hat{U}_P \in SL(N, r)$ such that

$$P\Lambda^{(1)} = \hat{U}_P \Lambda^{(1)} \hat{U}_P^{-1} \quad (28)$$

Eq. (27) can be generalized by including in the original partition function (22) higher order interactions involving $\hat{A}^{(1)}$ and $\hat{A}^{(2)}$. For instance the addition of a quartic term $ig Tr \hat{A}^{(1)} \hat{A}^{(2)} \hat{A}^{(1)} \hat{A}^{(2)}$ to the action in (22) would result in an extra term

$$-ig \left(Tr \hat{U} \Lambda^{(1)} \hat{U}^{-1} \Lambda^{(2)} \hat{U} \Lambda^{(1)} \hat{U}^{-1} \Lambda^{(2)} \right) \quad (29)$$

in the exponential at the l.h.s. of (27). Correspondingly the exponential at the l.h.s. would acquire a contribution of the type

$$\begin{aligned} &-ig \left\{ \sum \left[\lambda_a^{(1)2} \lambda_a^{(2)2} + 2\lambda_a^{(1)} \lambda_a^{(2)} \left(\hat{A}_{ab}^{(1)} \hat{A}_{ba}^{(2)} + \hat{A}_{ab}^{(2)} \hat{A}_{ba}^{(1)} \right) + 2\lambda_a^{(1)} \hat{A}_{ab}^{(2)} \hat{A}_{bc}^{(1)} \hat{A}_{ca}^{(2)} + \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. + 2\lambda_a^{(2)} \hat{A}_{ab}^{(1)} \hat{A}_{bc}^{(2)} \hat{A}_{ca}^{(1)} + \hat{A}_{ab}^{(1)} \hat{A}_{bc}^{(2)} \hat{A}_{cd}^{(1)} \hat{A}_{da}^{(2)} \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where $\hat{A}_{ab}^{(i)}$ denote the off-diagonal elements only and the sum is then restricted to $\hat{A}_{ab}^{(1)} = 0$ for $a > b$ and $\hat{A}_{ab}^{(2)} = 0$ for $a < b$. Clearly in this case the integration over $\hat{A}_{ab}^{(1)}$ and $\hat{A}_{ab}^{(2)}$ at the r.h.s. of eq. (27) involves the eigenvalues and it cannot be done explicitly, at least so far.

It should be noticed that our proof of eq.(27) and its generalizations follows in a purely algebraic way from comparing two different choices of gauge in the partition function.

Acknowledgments : It is a pleasure to acknowledge precious daily discussions with M. Caselle .

References

- [1] M. Douglas and S. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. **B335** (1990) 635.
E. Brézin and V. Kazakov, Phys. Lett. **B236** (1990) 144.
D. Gross and A. Migdal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990) 127 ; Nucl. Phys. **B340** (1990) 333.
- [2] Some review papers on Matrix Models:
A. Bilal, *2d gravity from matrix models*, John Hopkins Lectures, CERN TH5867/90.
I. Klebanov, *String theory in two dimensions*, Trieste Lectures, spring 1991, PUPT-1271.
P. Ginsparg, *Matrix models of 2d gravity*, Trieste Lectures, summer 1991, LA-UR-91-9999.
J. Mañes and Y. Lozano, *Introduction to Nonperturbative 2d quantum gravity*, Barcelona preprint UB-ECM-PF3/91.
- [3] M.L. Mehta, Comm. Math. Phys. **79** (1981) 327.
- [4] Harish-Chandra, Amer. J. Math. **79**(1957) 87.
- [5] C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, J. Math. Phys. **21**(1980) 411.
- [6] D. Altschuler and C. Itzykson, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré Phys. Theor., **54** (1991) 1.
- [7] A.D'Adda, Class. Quantum Grav. **9** (1992) L21-L25.